

### Office of Environment, Safety and Health

#### **FERNALD SITE**

Program Review/Enforcement Action (2005-05)

Dennis Riley, DOE-FCP

Tony Weadock, EH-6





#### **BACKGROUND**

- Fernald traditionally viewed as having strong PAAA/ nuclear Safety program
  - Consistent and conservative reporting
  - Effective quality problem ID/resolution
- Typically referred to as "good example" PAAA Program



#### RECENT CONCERNS

- Schedule Fernald aggressively pursuing higher-risk closure activities
- Sudden decline in NTS reporting (0 reports for 8 months)
- Increase in radiological events
- Significant decline in RDR numbers until prompted by DOE







#### **DILEMMA**

- How best to respond to FCP/EH-6 concerns
- No significant event for follow-up
- Options:
  - >Severity Level III violations on more minor events
  - > Program Review
- Decision Program Review



## PROGRAM REVIEW OUTCOME

- Lack of reporting of significant issues
- "Lumping" all issues as Work Process violations
- Multiple deficiencies in RWP compliance varying significance
- Multiple deficiencies in material control



# PROGRAM REVIEW OUTCOME (cont'd)

- RWP, material issues not recognized by contractor
- 10 CFR 835 audits not finding issues
- QA audits major decline in significant findings

Helping the field succeed with safe and reliable operations.



#### **ENFORCEMENT**

- Decision to take enforcement based on lack of recognition, corrective actions for concerns
- Three citations:
  - > Radiological procedure compliance (RWP)
  - >10 CFR 835 Internal Audits
  - >Quality Improvement
- Cited as SLIII, total \$33K penalty



#### **FOLLOW UP**

- Site pace of activities warrants continuing follow-up
- PNOV required 90 day follow-up meeting
- Regular conference calls another follow-up briefing planned